

Tetrahedron Letters 43 (2002) 291-293

TETRAHEDRON LETTERS

The first direct synthesis of α -mangostin, a potent inhibitor of the acidic sphingomyelinase

Kazuhiko Iikubo,^a Yuichi Ishikawa,^a Noritaka Ando,^b Kazuo Umezawa^b and Shigeru Nishiyama^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Hiyoshi 3-14-1, Kohoku-ku, 223-8522 Yokohama, Japan

^bDepartment of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Hiyoshi 3-14-1, Kohoku-ku, 223-8522 Yokohama, Japan

Received 22 October 2001; revised 5 November 2001; accepted 9 November 2001

Abstract—A total synthesis of α -mangostin 1a has been achieved. The key cyclization reaction to construct the xanthone framework was undertaken by employing the PPh₃–CCl₄ conditions. The inhibitory activities of 1a and the benzophenone intermediate 16 against the acidic sphingomyelinase were discussed. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

From among extensive investigations to acquire new active substances in cancer chemotherapy, inhibitory activity against the acidic sphingomyelinase closely related to apoptosis-induction was found in α -mangostin 1a,¹ known as a component of mangosteen Garcinia mangostana L. (Guttiferae).² While such biological activities were confirmed as a competitive antagonist of the histamine H1 receptor,^{3a} inhibition of topoisomerases I and II,^{3b} antibacterial activity against Helicobacter pylori,^{3c} anti-inflammatory activities^{3d} and inhibition of oxidative damage of human LDL,^{3e} no synthesis of 1a carrying the intriguing highly-functionalized xanthone structure has been published, with the exception of the formal process by Lee:⁴ β-mangostin 1b and dimethylmangostin 1d were synthesized from chroman derivatives. Since conversion of 1d into three other mangostins 1a-c was accomplished, the synthesis of 1d constituted the total synthesis of 1a.⁵ Accordingly, an effective synthetic route to 1a and related derivatives, would be required to understand the detailed mode of action of their inhibitory activities. This background prompted us to initiate a synthetic investigation of 1a.

According to the retrosynthetic analysis (Fig. 1), it might be reasonable that α -mangostin **1a** would be

cleaved at the diaryl ether moiety, and the resulted benzophenone would be divided into two aromatic derivatives (**A**, **B**). Upon employing this strategy, the following problems would be solved: (1) the specific phenol groups should be effectively activated and/or protected to construct the diaryl ether moiety in a regioselective manner. (2) Since the prenyl groups tend to cyclize leading to the corresponding chroman derivatives,⁴ all of the reaction steps should be manipulated under mild reaction conditions.



Figure 1. Mangostins 1a–d, and the retrosynthetic analysis of 1a.

0040-4039/02/\$ - see front matter @ 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0040-4039(01)02137-2

Keywords: Garcinia mangostana L.; α-mangostin; acidic sphingomyelinase; apoptosis.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +81-45-566-1717; e-mail: nisiyama @chem.keio.ac.jp

Synthesis of fragment 6. The synthesis was commenced by protection of 2,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde 2 by benzyl groups, followed by Baeyer–Villiger oxidation and acid hydrolysis to provide the corresponding phenol 3 (Scheme 1). Compound 3 was subsequently submitted to bromination and allylation leading to 4 in high overall yield. Upon heating of 4 at 160°C, the expected Claisen rearrangement was effected to produce an allylbenzene, and the resulted phenol was protected as a methyl ether, leading to 5. The Lemieux–Johnson oxidation of 5, followed by the Wittig reaction provided the desired bromobenzene 6.

Synthesis of fragment 13. Another fragment 13 was synthesized from 1,3,5-trihydroxybenzene (phloroglucinol) 7 (Scheme 2). Thus, 7 was exhaustively protected with MOM groups, and the following prenylation gave 8 in high overall yield. After introduction of ethoxycarbonyl groups to 8, the resulting 9 was subsequently submitted to acid-catalyzed methanolysis, TBS-protection, then DIBAL-reduction to give benzyl alcohol 10. Among such oxidation methods attempted as MnO₂, PCC, Swern and Dess-Martin oxidations, only IBX⁶ effected the desired conversion of 10 into the aldehyde 11, fortunately with concomitant removal of the TBS group adjacent to the prenyl group.⁷ The position of the phenol generated was confirmed by the NOE experiments of 12, as depicted in Scheme 2. For utilization in the following regioselective cyclization, this phenol was protected as an MOM ether to 12, and the following manipulation involving the exchange of the TBS to benzyl groups, provided 13. With the two benzaldehydes 12, 13 in hand, both compounds were submitted to the coupling reaction with 6.

Coupling reaction to the target molecule. Coupling of 13 with an anion generated from 6 provided the corresponding alcohol 14 in moderate yield, although the case employing 12 was unsuccessful, probably owing to the steric hindrance of the TBS group (Scheme 3). Compound 14 was converted in two steps into the benzophenone $15.^{8}$ Although cyclization reactions under the usual acidic and basic conditions were unsuc-



Scheme 1. (a) BnBr, K_2CO_3 , DMF, rt, 96%; (b) *mCPBA*, CH₂Cl₂, rt; 6 M HCl, MeOH, rt 95% in two steps; (c) Br₂, CHCl₃, rt, 84%; (d) allyl bromide, K_2CO_3 , DMF, rt, 80%; (e) 160°C, 73%; (f) MeI, K_2CO_3 , DMF, rt, 87%; (g) OsO₄, NaIO₄, Et₂O/H₂O (1/1), rt, 95%; (h) *i*PrPh₃P⁺I⁻, *n*BuLi, THF, 0°C, 72%.



Scheme 2. (a) NaH, MOMCl, DMF, rt, 96%; (b) *n*BuLi; prenyl bromide, THF, 0°C, 89%; (c) *n*BuLi; (EtO)₂CO, THF, 0°C, 95%; (d) CSA, MeOH, 60°C, 100%; (e) TBSCl, DMAP, Et₃N, DMF, rt, 100%; (f) DIBAL-H, toluene, -78°C, 78%; (g) IBX, toluene/DMSO (1/1), rt, 76%; (h) NaH, MOMCl, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 65%; (i) TBAF, THF, 0°C, 100%; (j) BnBr, K₂CO₃, DMF, rt, 98%.



Scheme 3. (a) *s*BuLi, THF, -78°C, 49%; (b) IBX, toluene/DMSO (1/1), rt, 76%; (c) 10% Pd/C, HCO₂NH₄, acetone, rt, 63%; (d) PPh₃, CCl₄, THF, rt, then silica gel, 43%; (e) CSA, MeOH, rt, 76%.

cessful, the PPh₃–CCl₄ protocol⁹ with the following silica gel treatment, enabled the desired cyclization, as well as removal of the MOM group directly to give α -mangostin **1a**. This method was developed in this laboratory for conversion of β -triketide into the corresponding γ -pyrones under mild acidic conditions. Transformation of **15** into **1a** might be initiated by phosphorylation of the phenols, and the following abstraction of triphenylphosphine oxide effected the cyclization. Deprotection of the MOM group was simultaneously conducted with the plausible active species (Ph₃P⁺Cl·CCl₃⁻). The spectroscopic data of the synthetic sample was identical with those of the natural product.¹

Biological activity. The biological assessment of the benzophenone derivative (e.g. 16) possessing similar functions to 1a, has not been reported, in contrast to tetrahydro- and diacetyl-xanthones 17 and 18 derived from **1a**: the hydrophylic phenols were essential to exhibit the activity (18), whereas the olefinic moiety gave no critical effect (17) (Fig. 2). Accordingly, compound 15 was converted by acid hydrolysis into 16 to confirm its activity as part of our biochemical investigation of 1a. In the biological assay in the presence of acidic sphingomyelinase and NBD-sphingomyelin,¹ the benzophenone 16^{10} exhibited the comparable inhibitory activity to that of **1a** (**1a**: IC₅₀ 4.21 μ g/ml; **16**: IC₅₀ 16.56 μ g/ml). Since lower cytotoxicity than that of 1a was observed, 16 will provide new information towards understanding the mechanism of the acidic sphingomyelinase-regulated apoptosis. Extensive investigation of the structure-activity relationship is in progress.



Figure 2. α -Mangostin derivatives 17, 18 and their inhibitory activities against the acidic sphingomyelinase (Ref. 1).

References

 Okudaira, C.; Ikeda, Y.; Komdo, S.; Furuya, S.; Hirabayashi, Y.; Koyano, T.; Saito, Y.; Umezawa, K. J. *Enzym. Inhib.* 2000, 15, 129–138.

- Isolation: Schmid, W. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1855, 93, 83– 88; Dragendorff, O. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1930, 482, 280– 301. Structural determination: Yates, P.; Stout, G. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 1691–1700; Scheinmann, F. Chem. Commun. 1967, 1015–1017; Stout, G. H.; Krahn, M. M.; Yates, P.; Bhat, H. B. Chem. Commun. 1968, 211–212.
- (a) Chairungsrilerd, N.; Furukawa, K.; Ohta, T.; Nozoe, S.; Ohizumi, Y. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1996, 314, 351–356;
 (b) Tosa, H.; Iinuma, M.; Tanaka, T.; Nozaki, H.; Ikeda, S.; Tsutsui, K.; Tsutsui, K.; Yamada, M.; Fujimori, S. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1997, 45, 418–420; (c) Hasegawa, H.; Sasaki, S.; Aimi, N.; Takayama, H.; Koyana, T. Jpn. Patent 1996, 08231396; (d) Shankarayan, D.; Gopalakrishnan, C.; Kameswaran, L. Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Ther. 1979, 239, 257–269; (e) Williams, P.; Ongsakul, M.; Proudfoot, J.; Croft, K.; Beilin, L. Free Rad. Res. 1995, 23, 175–184.
- 4. Lee, H.-H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin 1 1981, 3205-3213.
- Jefferson, A.; Quillinan, A. J.; Scheinmann, F.; Sim, K. Y. Aust. J. Chem. 1970, 23, 2539–2543.
- 6. (a) Frigerio, M.; Santagostino, M.; Sputore, S. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4537–4538; (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Zhong, Y.-L.; Baran, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7596– 7597; (c) Wirth, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2812–2814.
- 7. Steric hindrance of the TBS group interfered with the prenylation of the tri-TBS derivative of 7. Accordingly, a stepwise process was required as described in Scheme 2.
- When using the tri-MOM derivative of 13, efforts to remove the protective groups from the corresponding benzophenone product were unsuccessful. Upon reacting even under CSA in MeOH (30°C) conditions, by-products possessing a chroman-framework with MOM groups were observed, cf. Mahabusarakam, W.; Pakawatchai, C.; Wiriyachitra, P.; Taylor, W. C.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. Aust. J. Chem. 1998, 51, 249–254.
- (a) Arimoto, H.; Nishiyama, S.; Yamamura, S. *Tetra*hedron Lett. **1990**, 31, 5619–5620; (b) Yamamura, S.; Nishiyama, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1997**, 70, 2025– 2037.
- 10. **16**: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 1.39 (3H, s), 1.50 (3H, s), 1.75 (3H, s), 1.80 (3H, s), 3.32–3.34 (4H, complex), 3.76 (3H, s), 4.97 (1H, m), 5.23 (1H, m), 5.91 (1H, s) and 6.50 (1H, s); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 17.5, 17.9, 21.5, 25.4, 25.8, 25.9, 29.1, 61.9, 95.8, 102.8, 106.4, 106.8, 118.4, 121.1, 121.8, 134.1, 134.2, 139.7, 150.8, 152.1, 160.0, 161.4, 163.2 and 196.1.